

## BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK: Quarter 1 2019/20

The Board has overall responsibility for ensuring systems and controls are in place, sufficient to mitigate any significant risks which may threaten the achievement of the organisation's strategic objectives. Assurance can be secured through a range of sources, but wherever possible, it should be systematic, consistent, independently verified and incorporated within a robust governance process. The Board achieves this primarily through the work of its assurance committees, through audit and other sorts of independent review, and by the systematic collection and analysis of performance data, to demonstrate the achievement of its strategic objectives. The Board Assurance Framework is a live document that will continue to be populated and amended as risks and assurances associated with the organisational objectives are identified

BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK										Q 1 2019/20	
Assurance Overview						Date					
Strategic Objective		Current Assurance Level	Reason for Assurance Level	Executive Lead	Assuring Committee	Quarterly assurance ratings				Strategic Risk	
						18/19			19/20		
						Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Principal composite	Highest
1	To provide outstanding care for our patients		There is confidence that structures and processes to identify and support the mitigation of risk associated with the achievement of this strategic objective are established. The Quality Committee recognises that significant improvements have been made and sustained. The Committee undertook a formal review of achievements and performance during 2018/19 at the April meeting.	Chief Nurse/ Chief Medical Officer	Quality					12	16
2a	To deliver our financial plan		The Q1 planned deficit before PSF is £5.2m. This position was achieved for the period ending 30.6.19 and as such the RAG rating for the Quarter is 'green'. The underlying run rate is the largest risk given there are minimal non recurrent measures available in 19/20. The current run rate will not secure the Q2 position which is a £2.4m improvement on the Q1 target (ie a planned deficit in the quarter of £2.8m). The Trust has agreed a fixed income contract with its main commissioners (Bradford and Airedale) which means that delivery of the financial plan inclusive of the liquidity position and capital programme is reliant on cost efficiencies and transformation. The Trust has limited opportunity to rely on growth to support the financial position.	Director of Finance	Finance and Performance					16	16
2b	To deliver our key performance targets		There has been significant improvement in performance against cancer 2 ww and 62 day standards and improvement is in line with trajectory. Both standards are predicted to be achieved by the end of Q1 2019/20. The revised breach allocation rules which came into effect in April will negatively impact on BTHFT due to late IPTs. There has been a month on month improvement in RTT performance and improvement is in line with trajectory. The total waiting list has reduced for eleven consecutive months. There have been zero 52 week breaches for 6 months. There is limited confidence in the Trust's ability to achieve the ECS 95% standard although there has been a recent improvement in performance and on track with the improvement trajectory. Demand and capacity analysis has shown that lack of physical capacity and insufficient clinical decision makers in ED is a major contributor to poor performance. Recruitment to the additional workforce is progressing well. The improvement programme is on track and there is measurable improvement in a number of KPIs. A number of new roles have been implemented and are showing a measurable impact on performance improvement. Time from arrival to assessment and average LOS in ED has reduced. Ambulance handover performance has improved. Green Zone with GPs and ED practitioners working together has commenced with performance maintained.	Chief Operating Officer	Finance and Performance					16	20
3	To be in the top 20% of employers in the NHS		The Committee was assured that significant progress is being made across a range of key workforce indicators. Evidence is being routinely presented to Committee demonstrating tangible assurance. Concerns re vacancies in key areas remain with performance below metric in some areas. The Committee have reviewed the risk appetite and composite risk rating for this strategic objective at the February meeting, and agreed at the march meeting to revise this to 'seeking'.The committee is intending to recommend to Board of Directors in July that its level of assurance is revised to green.	Director of Human Resources	Workforce					12	12
4	To be a continually learning organisation		Evidence continues to be presented to Committees and Board which demonstrates the significant progress made, recognising that there are further opportunities for change and improvement. The Quality Committee will undertake a full review of achievements and performance during 2018/19 in April 2019.	Chief Medical Officer	Quality					12	12
5	To collaborate effectively with local and regional partners		Partnership work for all acute collaboration and vertical integration is necessarily dependent on the work and cooperation of external organisations, which means elements of partnership work will always be beyond the direct influence and control of BTHFT, but within that context we believe our mitigations are effective	Director of Strategy and Integration	Partnerships					12	12

BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK		Strategic Objective	1	To provide outstanding care for our patients			2018/19		19/20
Executive Lead		Karen Dawber/Bryan Gill		Assuring Committee		Quality	Assurance Level		
							Q2	Q3	Q4

Positive Assurance (bold received to date in quarter)			Negative Assurance (bold received in quarter)			Gaps in Assurance	Rationale for Assurance Level
Date	Assurance	Source	Date	Assurance	Source	Any gaps identified have been managed within the business of the committee through the provision of enhanced assurance	There is confidence that structures and processes to identify and support the mitigation of risk associated with the achievement of this strategic objective are established. The Quality Committee recognises that significant improvements have been made and sustained. The Committee undertook a formal review of achievements and performance during 2018/19 at the April meeting.
Monthly	<b>Safe Staffing report</b> <b>Quality Committee Dashboard and trend analysis</b> <b>Information Governance report</b> <b>Quality oversight system</b>	Report	Monthly	<b>Safe Staffing report</b> <b>Quality Committee Dashboard and trend analysis</b> <b>Serious incident report</b>	Report to Quality Committee		
Quarterly	<b>Incident report</b> <b>Leadership walk around programme</b> ProGRESS <b>Learning from deaths</b> Learning <b>Patient experience report</b> <b>Freedom to speak up report</b>	Report	Quarterly	<b>Incident report</b> <b>Clinical Effectiveness report</b>	Report to Quality Committee		
Annual	<b>Sub Committee reports</b> <b>Data Security Protection Toolkit</b>	Report					
April/June	<b>Maternity report (Quarterly)</b> <b>Focus on: safer procedures, pressure ulcers, IPCC</b>	Reports					
June	<b>IPCC report</b>	Reports					

Key performance Indicator		Principal Risk (s)		Potential consequences	Composite risk rating (strategic risks)					Component risks>12	
					Initial	Residual	Target	Current	Direction of travel	Number	Highest Current
a	To achieve the NHS quality of care standards	1	Failure to maintain the quality of patient services	Poor quality of care to the population that we provide services for.	16	8	4	12	↔	10	16
b	To continuously improve in all services over the cycle of the clinical services strategy and have no services rated as requires improvement or inadequate.			Reduced reputation and risk to continuity of services							
		8	Failure to meet regulatory expectations and comply with laws regulations and standards	Harm to patients, visitors and staff Incidents, complaints Regulatory/legal action	12	8	6	8	↔	0	12
		9	Failure to maintain a safe environment for staff patients and visitors	Harm to patients, visitors and staff Reduced reputation and risk to continuity of services Regulatory/legal action	12	6	4	12	↔	1	12

High Level Controls (From Quality Plan 2018/19)		Gaps in controls	Routine Sources of Assurance		Risk Appetite
Quality Strategy	Friends and Family test	Implementation of always events	Exception reports from Sub Committees (from February 2019)	Quality Oversight System report	<b>Cautious.</b> Preference for safe delivery options that have a low degree of inherent risk and may only have limited potential for reward
Risk management strategy	National Inpatient survey		Patient experience report	Infection Prevention and control report	
Patient experience strategy	Other National Patient Surveys	Real time quality data: sepsis indicators	Risk management report	Safe staffing report	
Quality Oversight System	Complaint benchmarking		Serious Incident report	Escalation of risks to quality from other Board Committees	
Infection Prevention and Control Standards	CQC compliance action plan		Effectiveness Report	Safe Staffing report	
LocSSIPs programme	Performance (RTT/ECS/Cancer) benchmarking		CQC compliance reporting	Quality Committee Dashboard and trend analysis	
Quality improvement collaboratives: harm free care	PLACE assessments		Safeguarding report	Serious incident report	
Incident reporting benchmarking	Freedom to Speak Up programme		Learning report	Incident report	
SAFER implementation programme	Bradford Accreditation Scheme		Learning from deaths report		
NICE guidance implementation programme	Workforce: Safe staffing standards, appraisal, mandatory training, sickness absence benchmarking, Placement satisfaction benchmarking (medical students)		Quality Committee Dashboard		
Delayed Transfers of Care benchmarking	Data Security Protection Toolkit		Clinical Effectiveness report		
Policy and Procedure compliance benchmarking	Internal audit reports relevant to controls		National audit Care at end of life presentation		
National Audit Programme					
Health and safety benchmarking					
Structured Judgement Review Programme					

<b>BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK</b>	<b>Strategic Objective</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>To provide outstanding care for our patients</b>	<b>Action Plan to address Gaps in Controls and Assurance</b>
----------------------------------	----------------------------	----------	---	--

				Date of update	27/3/2019
<b>Accountability</b>			<b>Responsibility</b>		
<b>Lead</b>	<b>Oversight/governance structure</b>			<b>Lead</b>	<b>Work-stream/operational group</b>
Chief Nurse (CN)	Quality Committee			Deputy Medical Director (DMD)	Infection Prevention and Control Committee
Medical Director (MD)				Deputy Chief Nurse (DCN)	Patients First Committee
				Nurse Consultant IPCC (NCIPCC)	Going Digital Programme Board
				Head of Business Intelligence (HBI)	

<b>Objective</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>To address gaps in controls that compromise the assurance related to this strategic objective</b>							
<b>No</b>	<b>Action</b>	<b>Lead</b>	<b>Date Assigned</b>	<b>Scheduled completion</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Actual Completion</b>	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Evidence</b>	
1	To develop functionality to enable real time quality metric reporting	HBI	June 2018	June 2019	O		This is part of ongoing work to optimise the data available from EPR and its associated analytics. This is being tested in maternity services		
2	To ensure that the Trust has appropriate metrics and processes in place to monitor the quality of sepsis care and management	CNIP	June 2018	October 2018	C	September 2018	Presented to quality committee in September	Paper presented to Quality Committee	
3	To implement Always Events through the implementation of the Patient Experience Strategy	CN	Jan 2019	September 2019	O				

<b>Objective</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>To address gaps in assurance related to achievement of this strategic objective</b>							
<b>No</b>	<b>Action</b>	<b>Lead</b>	<b>Date Assigned</b>	<b>Scheduled completion</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Actual Completion</b>	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Evidence</b>	
1	To ensure that the national inpatient survey and a summary of recommendations is received by the Quality Committee in July 2018	CN	June 2018	July 2018	C		Presented to quality committee in August 2018		

BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK		Strategic Objective	2a	To deliver our financial plan			Assurance Level	18/19			19/20
								Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1
Executive Lead	Matthew Horner			Assuring Committee		Finance and Performance					

Positive Assurance			Negative Assurance			Gaps in Assurance	Rationale for Assurance Level
Date	Assurance	Source	Date	Assurance	Source		
July 2019	Fixed Income Contract agreed with main commissioners (Bradford & Airedale). Improved baseline contract value compared to PbR contract	Finance Report	July 19	Identification and implementation of sufficient cash releasing measures to ensure annual CIP target is delivered. Underspends against budgets utilised to deliver Quarter 1 position. The current underlying run rate will not deliver the quarter 2 control total which requires a £2.4m improvement on Q1.	Finance report	<p>Definitive plans to secure the full value of control total requirement on a recurrent and sustainable basis:</p> <p>The new Care Group Structures continues to bed in with a number of staff in new roles both clinical and operational. The level of understanding/operational grip and skills/capabilities continues to evolve. The CBU development programme will help facilitate this process.</p>	<p>The Q1 planned deficit before PSF is £5.2m. This position was achieved for the period ending 30.6.19 and as such the RAG rating for the Quarter is ‘green’. The underlying run rate is the largest risk given there are minimal non recurrent measures available in 19/20. The current run rate will not secure the Q2 position which is a £2.4m improvement on the Q1 target (ie a planned deficit in the quarter of £2.8m). The Trust has agreed a fixed income contract with its main commissioners (Bradford and Airedale) which means that delivery of the financial plan inclusive of the liquidity position and capital programme is reliant on cost efficiencies and transformation. The Trust has limited opportunity to rely on growth to support the financial position.</p>
July 2019	Financial position on plan for Quarter ensuring PSF and FRF funding is recovered.	Finance Report					
July 2019	Weekly CBU meetings focussing solely on CIP delivery	Finance Report					
July 2019							

Key performance Indicator		Principal Risk(s)		Potential consequences	Composite risk rating (strategic risks)					Component risks >12	
					Initial	Residual	Target	Current	Direction of travel	Number	Highest Current
a	Deliver the financial plan to secure PSF and FRF funding and deliver liquidity plan to ensure sufficient cash to protect the capital programme	4	Failure to maintain financial stability	Damage to reputation, financial and liquidity compromise, loss of market share, regulatory action	16	10	10	16	↔	2	16

High Level Controls	Gaps in controls	Routine Sources of Assurance	Risk Appetite
<p>Executive led Divisional Financial performance management</p> <p>Bradford Improvement Plan Governance</p> <p>Performance management of CIP delivery (including weekly CIP delivery meetings for each CBU)</p> <p>Budget setting and business planning</p> <p>Quality Impact Assessment and Financial Impact Assessment process</p> <p>Standing Financial Instructions and Scheme of Delegation</p> <p>CEO led Finance &amp; Performance Oversight Committee (FPOC)</p> <p>Issuance of Budgetary Management Framework to support new Care Groups and Clinical Business Units (CBU’s)</p>		<p>Director of Finance report to Finance and Performance Committee and Board – including assessment of NHSI ‘Use of Resources’ framework</p> <p>Bradford Improvement Plan Report to Finance and Performance Committee and Board of Directors</p> <p>Internal Audit Committee Reports on controls assurance</p> <p>Audit Committee Report to Board</p> <p>Finance &amp; Performance Committee Dashboard</p> <p>Board Integrated Dashboard</p> <p>Finance &amp; Performance Oversight Committee Report to Finance and Performance Committee and Board of Directors</p>	<p><b>Cautious</b> Preference for safe delivery options that have a low degree of inherent risk and may only have limited potential for reward</p>

<b>BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK</b>	<b>Strategic Objective</b>	<b>2a</b>	<b>To achieve our financial plan</b>	<b>Action Plan to address Gaps in Controls and Assurance</b>
----------------------------------	----------------------------	-----------	--------------------------------------	--

				Date of update	15/7/2019
<b>Accountability</b>				<b>Responsibility</b>	
<b>Lead</b>	<b>Oversight/governance structure</b>			<b>Lead</b>	<b>Work-stream/operational group</b>
Director of Finance (DoF)	Finance and Performance Committee			Chief Executive	Finance and Performance Oversight Committee
Chief Operating Officer (COO)					

<b>Objective</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>To address gaps in controls that compromise the assurance related to this strategic objective</b>							
<b>No</b>	<b>Action</b>	<b>Lead</b>	<b>Date Assigned</b>	<b>Scheduled completion</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Actual Completion</b>	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Evidence</b>	
1	Delivery of the control total in full through CIP plans and additional recovery measures	DoF COO	1.4.19	31.3.20	OC		The pre-PSF control total deficit for 2019/20 is £12.5m. There is currently a gap in securing the full value for the year.	Finance Report to Finance & Performance Committee Outputs from CBU weekly CIP meetings	

<b>Objective</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>To address gaps in assurance related to this strategic objective</b>							
<b>No</b>	<b>Action</b>	<b>Lead</b>	<b>Date Assigned</b>	<b>Scheduled completion</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Actual Completion</b>	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Evidence</b>	
1	Definitive plans to secure the full value of CIP requirement on a recurrent and sustainable basis	DoF COO	1.4.19	31.3.20	OC		The current plans identified do not secure full delivery of the CIP target for the year. Underspends reported across a number of expenditure lines are offsetting the gaps at the end of quarter one.	Finance Report to Finance & Performance Committee Outputs from CBU weekly CIP meetings	
2									

<b>Status:</b>	
<b>O</b>	Open
<b>O</b>	Open and compromised
<b>C</b>	Closed
<b>OD</b>	Overdue



BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK		Strategic Objective	2b	To deliver our key performance targets			Assurance Level	2018/19			19/20
								Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1
Executive Lead		Sandra Shannon		Assuring Committee		Finance and Performance					

Positive Assurance			Negative Assurance			Gaps in Assurance	Rationale for Assurance Level
Date	Assurance	Source	Date	Assurance	Source		
20/6/ 2019	Implementation of the action plan to improve the ECS performance Daily performance reporting of ECS Business case for revised staffing model approved. Recruitment in progress Improved performance for ambulance handover. Implementation of green zone External visit and review of programme by NHSEI Regional clinical leads for urgent care and GIRFT Recruitment to 4 clinical fellow posts Recruitment to 2 consultant posts in ED .	ECS improvement Plan 2019/20  EPR – Trust performance team  Formal report from NSHEI	20/6/ 2019  20/6/ 2019  20/6/ 2019	Current performance in relation to ECS standard Still relatively low numbers of patients being treated on same day emergency care/ ambulatory pathway. Consultant vacancies for acute medicine – recruitment in process	Performance Report to Finance & Performance Committee Staffing rotas.	Data quality issues in 18 week PTL which limits the Trust’s ability to provide a weekly report	There has been significant improvement in performance against cancer 2 ww and 62 day standards and improvement is in line with trajectory. Both standards are predicted to be achieved by the end of Q1 2019/20. The revised breach allocation rules which came into effect in April will negatively impact on BTHFT due to late IPTs. There has been a month on month improvement in RTT performance and improvement is in line with trajectory. The total waiting list has reduced for eleven consecutive months. There have been zero 52 week breaches for 6 months. There is limited confidence in the Trust’s ability to achieve the ECS 95% standard although there has been a recent improvement in performance and on track with the improvement trajectory. Demand and capacity analysis has shown that lack of physical capacity and insufficient clinical decision makers in ED is a major contributor to poor performance. Recruitment to the additional workforce is progressing well. The improvement programme is on track and there is measurable improvement in a number of KPIs. A number of new roles have been implemented and are showing a measurable impact on performance improvement. Time from arrival to assessment and average LOS in ED has reduced. Ambulance handover performance has improved. Green Zone with GPs and ED practitioners working together has commenced with performance maintained.
20/6/ 2019	Implementation of the action plan to improve the Cancer 62 Day performance There has been an increase in the number of patients seen within 2 weeks of referral There has been a month on month reduction in 62 day backlog 2ww tracker in place for all cancer specialties Revised escalation process in place Daily cancer huddle to review patient level information on waiting times/ delays. Cancer waiting time dashboard	Cancer 62 day performance Action Plan  PPM – Cancer Manager	Mar 2019	Current performance in relation Cancer 62 day standard – 2ww and 62 standards not yet achieved consistently	Performance Report to Finance & Performance Committee Cancer dashboard		
20/6/ 2019	Implementation of the plan to reduce elective waiting times Weekly 18 week RTT performance against trajectories Demand and capacity modelling RTT waiting list has reduced for 11 successive months. There have been no 52 week waiters for 6 months.	ECR action plan  Incomplete PTL  Outputs of D&C modelling	Mar 2019		Performance Report to Finance & Performance Committee Access highlight report 18 week incomplete waiting list		

Key performance Indicator		Principal Risk (s)		Potential consequences	Composite risk rating (strategic risks)					Component risks>12	
					Initial	Residual	Target	Current	Direction of travel	Number	Highest Current
	To achieve organisational trajectories set ECS	3	Failure to maintain operational performance	Damage to reputation, regulatory action	20	6	6	16	↔	2	20
					12	6	6	12	↓	1	12

High Level Controls
<p>New performance management and accountability framework</p> <p>Development of care group and CBU dashboards including national/local and contractual KPI’s/standards</p> <p>ECS improvement plan</p> <p>Cancer improvement plan</p> <p>Elective care improvement plan</p> <p>Weekly Access Meetings</p> <p>2 weekly ECS breach review meetings</p> <p>Urgent Care Programme board</p> <p>Planned care programme board</p>

Gaps in controls
<p>ECS- the current staffing model is not sufficient to meet current emergency demand</p>

Routine Sources of Assurance
<p>Daily return to NHSI for ECS</p> <p>National cancer submission of cancer waiting times by standard</p> <p>Monthly national reporting of 18 weeks RTT through Unify</p> <p>Director of Finance - Performance report to Finance and Performance Committee and Board</p> <p>Audit Committee Report to the Board</p> <p>Contract Management Board</p> <p>Internal Audit Committee Reports on controls assurance</p> <p>Audit</p> <p>Finance &amp; Performance Committee Dashboard</p> <p>Board Integrated Dashboard</p>

Risk Appetite
<p>Cautious Preference for safe delivery options that have a low degree of inherent risk and may only have limited potential for reward</p>

<b>BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK</b>	<b>Strategic Objective</b>	<b>2b</b>	<b>To deliver our key performance targets</b>	<b>Action Plan to address Gaps in Controls and Assurance</b>
----------------------------------	----------------------------	-----------	---	--

				Date of update	20/6/2019
<b>Accountability</b>			<b>Responsibility</b>		
<b>Lead</b>	<b>Oversight/governance structure</b>		<b>Lead</b>	<b>Work-stream/operational group</b>	
Deputy Director of Operations	Urgent Care Improvement Programme		AED leadership	Emergency care Access and flow	
Deputy Director of Operations	Urgent Care Improvement Programme		Deputy Director of Operations	Hospital Flow and discharge	

<b>Objective</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>To address gaps in controls that compromise the assurance related to this strategic objective</b>							
<b>No</b>	<b>Action</b>	<b>Lead</b>	<b>Date Assigned</b>	<b>Scheduled completion</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Actual Completion</b>	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Evidence</b>	
1	ECS- To implement the revised staffing model that matches staff resource with emergency demand	COO	May 19	30/10/18			Revised workforce model agreed. Business case approved at Trust Board and recruitment has commenced. Due to the education timetable the majority of medical posts will not be filled until September 18. Recruitment progressing well to nursing and ACP vacancies.		
2	ECS – to increase the number of patients who attend ED who are treated by same day emergency care and avoid overnight admission	COO	May 19	31/11/19			Plan for blue zone has been agreed. 4 ambulatory pathways have commenced and the number of patients transferred from ED to ACU and avoiding 4 hour breach has increased from 7-8 to 15-20 per day. There is a total opportunity of approximately 70 patients who could be transferred to same day emergency care (blue zone)		

<b>Objective</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>To address gaps in assurance related to achievement of this strategic objective</b>							
<b>No</b>	<b>Action</b>	<b>Lead</b>	<b>Date Assigned</b>	<b>Scheduled completion</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Actual Completion</b>	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Evidence</b>	
1	18 weeks RTT- To implement a DQ improvement programme	COO	June 19	Dec 19			Programme commenced. Detailed action plan in place.		

BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK		Strategic Objective	3	To be in the top 20% of employers in the NHS		Assurance Level	18/19			19/20
							Q1	Q2	Q3	Q1
Executive Lead	Pat Campbell			Assuring Committee			Workforce			

[illegible]

Key performance Indicator		Principal Risk (s)		Potential consequences		Composite risk rating (strategic risk register)					Component risks >12	
						Initial	Residual	Target	Current	Direction of travel	Number	Highest Current
A	Overall:Retain above average overall staff engagement indicator scores benchmarked against acute Trusts with a target of top 20% by 2021/22	2	Failure to recruit and retain an effective and engaged workforce to meet the needs of our Clinical Services Strategy	Disengaged staff – poor staff morale High staff turnover High vacancy rate/agency staff usage Poor quality and continuity of care Unanticipated bed closures		15	6	4	12	↔	1	12
B	Retain: Maintain a turnover rate between 10 -14% Develop:											
C	Ensure all eligible staff have an effective annual appraisal monitoring both completion rates [95%] and quality [through staff survey]											
D	Attract and Lead:To employ a workforce representative of our local communities in line with our Equalities Objectives/WRES action plan to include monitoring against our agreed equality objectives.											
E	Happy, healthy and here :achieve sickness absence rates of less than 4.50% in 2019/20											

High Level Controls		Gaps in controls	Routine Sources of Assurance		Risk Appetite
Divisional performance management Workforce dashboard Monitoring of safe staffing Monitoring of recruitment against budget Time to talk/We are Bradford/OD Plan Our People Strategy 2017 and annual workplans Personal responsibility framework Guardian of Safe Working Hours reports Workforce planning	Staff survey action plan Bi -Annual review of nurse and midwife staffing establishments Mandatory training and appraisal performance management Education and workforce Committee Human Resources Policies and Procedures Equality objectives/ WRES Action plan/Equality plan GMC reports	Contemporaneous staff experience data – Workforce transformation support Workforce plan to match clinical services strategy in development	Workforce report Workforce Committee Dashboard Board Integrated Dashboard HEE/NHSI workforce return/workforce plan Junior Doctor fill rates Update report on staff survey action plan Nurse recruitment and retention plan GMC survey Nurse staffing data publication report Bi-annual review report of nurse and midwife staffing Medical appraisal and revalidation report Quarterly ‘freedom to speak up guardian’ return	Workforce Race Equality Standard Report Guardian of safe working hours report Staff Friends and Family Test Model Hospital portal for benchmarking purposes Audit reports Staff Advocate service contacts and outcomes Leadership walkarounds	Seeking – Preference for safe delivery options particularly in relation to nurse staffing that have a low degree of inherent risk to patient safety and may only have limited potential for reward. Is now willing to consider all potential options including the introduction of new workforce models and new ways of working whilst also providing an acceptable level of reward



BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK	Strategic Objective	3	To be in the top 20% of Employers in the NHS	Action Plan to address Gaps in Controls and Assurance
---------------------------	---------------------	---	--	---

				Date of update	27/2/2019
Accountability			Responsibility		
Lead	Oversight/governance structure		Lead	Work-stream/operational group	
Director of Human Resources (DHR)	Workforce Committee		DHR	Education and Workforce Sub Committee	
			Deputy Director of Human Resources (DDHR)		
			Assistant Director of Human Resources (ADHR)		

Objective	1	To address gaps in controls that compromise the assurance related to this strategic objective							
No	Action	Lead	Date Assigned	Scheduled completion	Status	Actual Completion	Comments	Evidence	
1	To review methods for getting more contemporaneous staff experience data out with SF&F and NHS Staff Survey	DDHR	01.07.2018	30.09.2018	C		To be picked up through staff engagement actions and reported to E&W Committee. Limited outcome-to be reviewed at March meeting.	Proposal developed but not able to be pursued	
2	To undertake a strategic workforce review	DDHR	06.2018	31.03.2019	OC	tbc	Terms of reference developed , awaiting start date of workforce transformation support. Appointment made . Meeting set up for 27 June 2019		

Objective	2	To address gaps in assurance related to achievement of this strategic objective							
No	Action	Lead	Date Assigned	Scheduled completion	Status	Actual Completion	Comments	Evidence	
1	nil								

BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK		Strategic Objective	4	To be a continually learning organisation			Assurance Level	18/19			19/20
Executive Lead		Bryan Gill		Assuring Committee		Quality Committee		Q2	Q3	Q4	Q4

Positive Assurance			Negative Assurance			Gaps in Assurance	Rationale for Assurance Level
Date	Assurance	Source	Date	Assurance	Source		
MONTHLY	Serious Incident Report	Quality Committee	MONTHLY	Serious Incident Report	Quality Committee		
QUARTERLY	Combined Learning Report Leadership Walk round update Learning from Deaths Patient Experience Guardian of Safe Working Hours	Quality Committee Quality Committee Quality Committee Quality Committee Workforce Committee	QUARTERLY				
ANNUALLY	Safer Procedures Patient Safety Sub- Committee Report Research Translation & Innovation Report Quality Account	Quality Committee Quality Committee  Quality Committee	ANNUALLY				
Mar 2019  April 2019  April 2019  05/04/2019 02/05/2019	Single Stroke Service Collaboration Quality Improvement Programme -update Safer Procedures Local Safety Standards  Medical Appraisal & Revalidation  <b>External GIRFT Visits</b> Endocrinology Anaesthetics & Perioperative Medicine	Quality Committee Quality Committee Quality Committee  Workforce Committee					

Key performance Indicator		Principal Risk (s)		Potential consequences	Composite risk rating					Component risks	
					Initial	Residual	Target	Current	Direction of travel	Number	Highest Current
1	To achieve 5% year on year training of clinical staff in Quality Improvement	8	Failure to demonstrate that the organisation is continually learning and improving the quality of care to our patients	Reputation, loss of HEE contracts, research funding, harm to patients, reduced recruitment and retention of staff	12	8	6	8	↔	0	-
2	To deliver upper quartile performance for recruitment to time and target for NIHR portfolio studies										
3	Achieving upper quartile performance on national education surveys										
4	Continuous learning: Ratio of near miss to SI reporting [Learning culture]										

High Level Controls	Gaps in controls	Routine Sources of Assurance	Risk Appetite
Research Committee Organisational learning system Trust’s Improvement Programme Quality oversight system National Audit Programme (Improvement) Patient safety/Clinical Effectiveness/workforce and education Sub-Committee NHS QUEST AHSN Improvement Academy, BIHR Centre for applied health research, HEE HEI CQC Compliance Action Plan GMC National Training Survey 2018	Lack of a single dashboard to reflect this strategic objective.	Quarterly learning report National Education Surveys ESR reports Board Integrated Dashboard National Audits GIRFT Data Packs/ Visits	<b>Open:</b> There is a willingness to support staff to innovate in methods of delivering continuous learning and improvement

<b>BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK</b>	<b>Strategic Objective</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>To be a continually learning organisation</b>	<b>Action Plan to address Gaps in Controls and Assurance</b>
----------------------------------	----------------------------	----------	--	--

				Date of update	27/3/2019
<b>Accountability</b>			<b>Responsibility</b>		
<b>Lead</b>	<b>Oversight/governance structure</b>		<b>Lead</b>	<b>Work-stream/operational group</b>	
Dr Bryan Gill	Quality Committee & Patient Safety Sub Committee		DMD		

<b>Objective</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>To address gaps in controls that compromise the assurance related to this strategic objective</b>							
<b>No</b>	<b>Action</b>	<b>Lead</b>	<b>Date Assigned</b>	<b>Scheduled completion</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Actual Completion</b>	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Evidence</b>	
1	Work being completed to ensure that HSMR and SHMI data will be available for next quarter.	MD/D OI	June 2018	01/09/2018	C	September 2018	Reported to quality Committee	Paper to quality committee in September 2018	

<b>Objective</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>To address gaps in assurance related to achievement of this strategic objective</b>							
<b>No</b>	<b>Action</b>	<b>Lead</b>	<b>Date Assigned</b>	<b>Scheduled completion</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Actual Completion</b>	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Evidence</b>	
1	Further work required to ensure that data can be extracted to evidence and assure compliance with Core and High priority training targets.	GM	June 2018	31/12/2018	C	October2018		Paper presented at Quality Committee, October 2018 (within the dashboard)	

BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK		Strategic Objective	5	To collaborate effectively with local and regional partners		Assurance Level	2018/19			19/20
							Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1
Executive Lead	John Holden			Assuring Committee			Partnership Committee			

Positive Assurance			Negative Assurance			Gaps in Assurance	Rationale for Assurance Level
Date	Assurance	Source	Date	Assurance	Source		
May 2019	Positive progress across “horizontal” and “vertical” integration and as well as Acute service collaboration with Airedale NHS FT.	Report to partnerships Committee	May 2019	Partnerships dashboard	<b>Dashboard</b>	Ensuring there is regular formal but also flexible oversight from EDs as partnership work with Airedale gathers pace.  Ensuring the trust monitors the programme from both a strategic and programme management perspective.	<b>Confident:</b> Partnership work for all acute collaboration and vertical integration is necessarily dependent on the work and cooperation of external organisations, which means elements of partnership work will always be beyond the direct influence and control of BTHFT, but within that context we believe our mitigations are effective.
May 2019	WYAAT Programme Directors report	Closed Board					
May 2019	Partnerships dashboard	Dashboard					

Key performance Indicator		Principal Risk (s)		Potential consequences	Composite risk rating					Component risks >12	
					Initial	Residual	Target	Current	Direction of travel	Number	Highest Current
1	Local integrated care (“vertical” integration): assessment of strategy and integration directorate of progress towards BTHFT’s strategic goals in this area.	7	Failure to deliver strategic partnerships	Missed opportunity to implement clinical strategy and improve patient care due to e.g. destabilised clinical services, loss of market share, reputational damage, financial loss, operational issues	12	8	8	10	↓	6	12
2	System-wide planning & decisions (“horizontal” integration): assessment of strategy and integration directorate of progress towards BTHFT’s strategic goals in this area.										
3	Acute service collaboration with Airedale NHS FT: assessment of strategy and integration directorate of progress towards BTHFT’s strategic goals in this area.										

High Level Controls	Gaps in controls	Routine Sources of Assurance	Risk Appetite
EMT Governance Implementation of Clinical Services Strategy 2017-2022 through CBU service planning and EMT updates Participation in : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ICS System Leadership Exec Group, and System Oversight and Assurance Group</li> <li>Bradford &amp; Districts Health &amp; Wellbeing Board</li> <li>Bradford Districts &amp; Craven Integration &amp; Change Board (ICB)</li> <li>Bradford Health &amp; Care Partnerships Board (programme board for integrated care)</li> <li>Integrated Management Board (IMB) of Bradford Provider Alliance</li> <li>WYAAT Programme exec (CEOs) and Committee in Common and Exec directors groups.</li> </ul>	Need to better co-ordinate activity and information within the trust (exec and senior managers) related to vertical and horizontal integration.	1. Stakeholder engagement survey 2. WYAAT Programme Director’s Report (feeds in to Committee in Common, WYAAT CEOs and sub groups eg FDs, Med Directors, Strategy & Ops) 3. Papers for ICS System Leadership Executive and System Oversight and Assurance Group (by exception) 4. Papers for Acute Provider Collaboration Programme (with ANHSFT) 5. Partnerships Dashboard 6. Papers for Integration and Change Board, and Health and Care Partnership Board (by exception) 7. Papers for Integrated Management Board of Bradford Provider Alliance (currently chaired by BTHFT).	<b>Seek:</b> Eager to be innovative and to choose options offering potentially higher business rewards

<b>BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK</b>	<b>Strategic Objective</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>To collaborate effectively with local and regional partners</b>	<b>Action Plan to address Gaps in Controls and Assurance</b>
----------------------------------	----------------------------	----------	--	--

			Date of update	27/3/2019
<b>Accountability</b>			<b>Responsibility</b>	
<b>Lead</b>	<b>Oversight/governance structure</b>	<b>Lead</b>	<b>Work-stream/operational group</b>	
Director of Strategy and Integration	Partnerships Committee of BTHFT Board	Head of Policy	Horizontal integration (WYAAT/STP); acute collaboration programme (ie AFT)	
		Head of Partnerships	Vertical integration (Bradford); stakeholder engagement	

<b>Objective</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>To address gaps in controls that compromise the assurance related to this strategic objective</b>						
<b>No</b>	<b>Action</b>	<b>Lead</b>	<b>Date Assigned</b>	<b>Scheduled completion</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Actual Completion</b>	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Evidence</b>
5	Ensuring there is regular formal but also flexible oversight from EDs as partnership work with Airedale quickly gathers pace  Ensuring the trust monitors the programme from both a strategic and programme management perspective	JH	Jan 31 2019	30 July 2019			EDs are sitting on governance board for the Airedale collaboration and the work is a standing item at EMT. In initial months of the programme, the Trust will monitor to ensure this provides sufficient oversight.  Work being completed to align programme and Trust approaches to risk management.	Airedale Programme Board ToR, EMT agenda.
4	Assess whether broader information or objective process can be fed into in directorate judgment as to whether KPIs are being attained	JH	17 Aug 2018	30 November 2018		20 Nov 18	System introduced where feedback on progress of collaborative programmes is gained from EDs. This feedback is then assessed by S&I team against overall KPIs. This will be supplemented by assessing the externally produced reports that created as part of the collaborative programmes.	Email to EDs 20 November
3	Create a risk regarding lack of understanding of our current level/depth of collaboration with AFT	JH	20 June 2018	20 July 2018		20 July 18	Following issue being raised at 20 June IRGC, Head of Policy drafted risk on Datix, approved at IRGC.	Datix reference 3260
2	Work with Governance Team to co-develop a risk for CRR in relation to proposals for future acute collab with Airedale FT	JH	1 March 2018	20 June 2018		20 June 18	Head of Policy drafted risk which is on Datix, approved by IGRC	Datix reference 3255; IGRC I.6.18.5
1	Following cancellation of Partnerships Board on 30 November 2018 circulate key papers for written comment.	JH	30 Nov 2018	7 December 2018		7 December 2018	Comments were sought on SPA (key opportunity to influence its development) and this BAF. NB SPA now finalised and signed	Email to Partnerships Committee

<b>Objective</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>To address gaps in assurance related to achievement of this strategic objective</b>						
<b>No</b>	<b>Action</b>	<b>Lead</b>	<b>Date Assigned</b>	<b>Scheduled completion</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Actual Completion</b>	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Evidence</b>
1	Appoint dedicated "Head of Partnerships" to oversee and co-ordinate vertical integration	JH	1 Feb 2018	6 June 2018		9 July	Appointee started 9 July.	Advert on NHS Jobs; HR paperwork

## Annex 1 Strategic Risk Register

### STRATEGIC RISK REGISTER: PRINCIPAL RISKS (Overview)

		Proposed Overall Risk Rating					Risk Appetite	
	Principal Risk	Initial	Residual	Target	Current	Direction	Current	Profile
1	Failure to maintain the quality of patient services	16	8	4	12	↔	Minimal	
2	Failure to recruit and retain an effective and engaged workforce	15	6	4	12	↔	Cautious/open	
3	Failure to maintain operational performance	20	6	6	16	↔	Cautious	
4	Failure to maintain financial sustainability	16	10	10	16	↔	Cautious	
5	Failure to deliver the required transformation of services	12	8	8	8	↔	Open	
6	Failure to achieve sustainable contracts with commissioners	12	6	6	12	↓	Cautious	
7	Failure to deliver the benefits of strategic partnerships	12	9	9	12	↔	Seek	
8	Failure to maintain a safe environment for staff patients and visitors	12	8	6	8	↔	cautious	
9	Failure to meet regulatory expectations and comply with laws, regulations and standards	12	6	4	12	↔	minimal	
10	Failure to demonstrate that the organisation is continually learning and improving the quality of care to our patients	12	8	6	8	new	open	



Appendix 2: Board Assurance Framework Legend					
Descriptors		Defining risk appetite			
Principal Risk	What could prevent the Strategic Objective from being achieved?	0	Avoid	Avoidance of risk is a key organisational objective	
High Level Controls	What controls/systems do we have in place to assist secure delivery of the objectives?	1	Minimal	(as little as reasonable possible) preference for ultra- safe delivery options that have a low degree of inherent risk	
Gaps in Controls	Are there any gaps in the effectiveness of controls or systems?	2	Cautious	Preference for safe delivery options that have a low degree of inherent risk and may only have limited potential for reward	
Sources of assurance	Where can we gain evidence in relation to the effectiveness of the controls/systems which we are relying on?				
Positive Assurance	What evidence have we of progress towards or achievement of our strategic objective?	3	Open	Willing to consider all potential delivery options and choose while also providing an acceptable level of reward	
Negative Assurance	What evidence have we of progress towards our strategic objectives being compromised?	4	Seek	Eager to be innovative and to choose options offering potentially higher business rewards	
Gaps in Assurance	Where can we improve the evidence about the effectiveness of one or more of the key controls/systems which we are relying on?	5	Mature	Confident in setting high levels of risk appetite because controls, forward scanning and responsiveness systems are robust	
Rationale for assurance level	(see Appendix 2) a description of the reason for the decision in relation to assurance level agreed by the assuring committee				
Risk Appetite	The level of risk the organisation is prepared to tolerate in relation to the secure delivery of each individual strategic objective				
Levels of assurance					
little or no confidence	Low. No evidence of necessary structure/processes supporting mitigation of risk associated with the achievement of strategic objective			Risk	
limited confidence	Compromised. Limited evidence of necessary structure/processes mitigation of risk associated with the achievement of strategic objective			Risk	
confidence	Confident. Range of structures and processes in place supporting mitigation of risk associated with the achievement of strategic objective available and used by the organisation			Opportunities for change and improvement	
High Confidence	Trust. Comprehensive evidence of effective and sustainable mitigation of risk associated with achievement of the strategic objectives			Opportunities for learning	